MDR Tracking Number: M5-04-0705-01

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective June 17, 2001 and Commission Rule 133.305 titled <u>Medical Dispute Resolution - General</u> and 133.308 titled <u>Medical Dispute Resolution by Independent Review Organizations</u>, the Medical Review Division assigned an IRO to conduct a review of the disputed medical necessity issues between the requestor and the respondent. The dispute was received on 11-05-03.

The Medical Review Division has reviewed the IRO decision and determined that **the requestor prevailed** on the issues of medical necessity. Therefore, upon receipt of this Order and in accordance with §133.308(r)(9), the Commission hereby orders the respondent and non-prevailing party to **refund the requestor \$650.00** for the paid IRO fee. For the purposes of determining compliance with the order, the Commission will add 20 days to the date the order was deemed received as outlined on page one of this order.

In accordance with §413.031(e), it is a defense for the carrier if the carrier timely complies with the IRO decision.

Based on review of the disputed issues within the request, the Medical Review Division has determined that **medical necessity was the only issue** to be resolved. The Amitriptyline, Wellbutrin, Bextra, Hydro/APAP and Trazodone were found to be medically necessary. The respondent raised no other reasons for denying reimbursement for the above listed services.

On this basis, and pursuant to §§402.042, 413.016, 413.031, and 413.019 of the Act, the Medical Review Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to pay the unpaid medical fees in accordance with the fair and reasonable rate as set forth in Commission Rule 133.1(a)(8) plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the requestor within 20 days of receipt of this order. This Order is applicable to dates of service 11-05-02 through 01-13-03 in this dispute.

The respondent is prohibited from asserting additional denial reasons relative to this Decision upon issuing payment to the requestor in accordance with this Order (Rule 133.307(j)(2)).

This Decision and Order are hereby issued this 13th day of January 2004.

Debra L. Hewitt Medical Dispute Resolution Officer Medical Review Division DLH/dlh

IRO Certificate #4599

NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DECISION

January 11, 2004

Re: IRO Case # M5-04-0705

Texas Worker's Compensation Commission:

has been certified as an independent review organization (IRO) and has been authorized to perform
ndependent reviews of medical necessity for the Texas Worker's Compensation Commission (TWCC).
Γexas HB. 2600, Rule133.308 effective January 1, 2002, allows a claimant or provider who has received
an adverse medical necessity determination from a carrier's internal process, to request an independent
review by an IRO.
in accordance with the requirement that TWCC assign cases to certified IROs, TWCC assigned this case
o for an independent review has performed an independent review of the proposed care to
determine if the adverse determination was appropriate. For that purpose, received relevant medical
records, any documents obtained from parties in making the adverse determination, and any other
documents and/or written information submitted in support of the appeal.
The case was reviewed by a physician who is Board Certified in Anesthesiology and Pain Management,
and who has met the requirements for TWCC Approved Doctor List or has been approved as an exception
to the Approved Doctor List. He or she has signed a certification statement attesting that no known
conflicts of interest exist between him or her and any of the treating physicians or providers, or any of the
physicians or providers who reviewed the case for a determination prior to referral to for independent
review. In addition, the certification statement further attests that the review was performed without bias
For or against the carrier, medical provider, or any other party to this case.
The determination of the reviewer who reviewed this case, based on the medical records provided is

<u>History</u>

as follows:

The patient has had bilateral arm and hand pain since bilateral carpal tunnel release, left ulnar nerve transposition and right median nerve decompression. Depression is also prominent.

Requested Service(s)

Amitriptyline, Wellbutrin, Bextra, Hydro/APAP, Trazodone, 11/5/02-1/13/03

Decision

I disagree with the carrier's decision to deny the requested medications.

Rational

Amitriptyline is reasonable to treat chronic pain, depression and insomnia. It was discontinued due to side effects. It was reasonable to substitute Trazodone, as it is a similar drug with fewer side effects. The antidepressant, Wellbutrin, is also reasonable to treat a patient, such as this patient, with chronic pain. Likewise, an anti-inflammatory medication such as Bextra is reasonable. The patient's physician states in the documentation provided for this review that the regimen, including Lortab 10, allows the patient to increase her functionality. Therefore, prescribing the drugs is reasonable.

The medications should be reviewed every three months before continuing with them. There should be specific evidence that each drug is improving the patient's comfort or functional status on an ongoing basis every three months.

This medical necessity decision by an Independent Review Organization is deemed to be a Commission decision and order.